

Multi-Group Testing*

Hong-Bin Chen¹, Fei-Huang Chang², Jun-Yi Guo³ and Yu-Pei Huang⁴

¹Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

²Department of Mathematics and Science, National Taiwan Normal University, New Taipei, Taiwan

³Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 11677, Taiwan

⁴Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan

Abstract

This paper proposes a novel generalization of group testing, called multi-group testing, which relaxes the notion of “testing subset” in group testing to “testing multi-set”. The generalization aims to learn more information of each item to be tested rather than identify only defectives as was done in conventional group testing. This paper provides efficient nonadaptive strategies for the multi-group testing problem. The major tool is a new structure, q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix, which is a generalization of the well-known binary disjunct matrix introduced by Kautz and Singleton in 1964.

Key words: group testing, pooling design.

1 Introduction

A frequently used tool to identify an unknown set of defective (positive) elements out of a large collection of elements by group tests is called Group Testing. In the classic group testing, a “group” test can be any “subset” of the given collection and its outcome is binary under Boolean operations: YES or NO. The former indicates

*This paper was presented in part at 2nd Japan-Taiwan Conference of Combinatorics and its Applications, Nagoya University, Japan, 2012.

that there is a positive element in this test and the latter implies no positive elements. Due to a diversity of its applications, there have been many variants of the classic group testing in the literature. Readers are referred to the book [11] and some recent papers [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 19] for further information.

This paper introduces a novel but natural generalization of Group Testing, called *multi-group testing*. Namely, a test can be applied to any “multi-subset” of the given set, where “multi” means every single element is allowed to be taken more than once in the same test. Obviously, allowing a number of duplicate copies in a test is meaningless under the assumption of Boolean operations. Therefore, in the multi-group testing the outcome rule relaxes to arithmetic operations (will be specified later). Moreover, the status of each individual item to be tested also relaxes from {positive, negative} to a larger set of categories.

A mathematical model can be described roughly as follows: Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an unknown vector, where x_i denotes the status of i th item. A measurement can be applied to any vector $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1, \dots\}^n$ with an outcome

$$v_{\mathbf{y}} \equiv f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i.$$

The goal is to learn the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$ through measurements in an efficient fashion (less measurements and fast decoding).

An obvious feature under this model is that any measurement which is linearly dependent on some other measurements is useless. The reason is that its outcome can then be simply derived from a linear combination of the outcomes of the others. The other feature is that, without any further information of the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$, n measurements are necessary in the worst case to learn the unknown vector (n is clearly sufficient). The reason is that after k measurements $\mathbf{x}$ can be any vector in the $n - k$ dimensional subspace whose outcome is consistent with the k measurements. If $k < n$, such vectors are not unique and thus cannot be determined exactly.

To make the multi-group testing model more interesting and challenging, we shall

assume that

1. the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$ is d -sparse, that is, $\mathbf{x}$ contains at most d nonzero entries where d is a constant with $d \ll n$;
2. the entries of $\mathbf{x}$ all belong to a certain set $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is a priori knowledge;
3. the number of copies from every single item in a measurement is restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, i.e., $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, where the integer q is prescribed.

Note that the outcome $v_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i$ can possibly exceed q .

This paper focuses on *nonadaptive strategies* where measurements are performed simultaneously and therefore all measurements must be settled in advance. A nonadaptive strategy that uses t measurements can be represented by a $t \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ with columns as items and rows as measurements, and the value at a_{ij} denotes the number of copies of item j in measurement i . The nonadaptive multi-group testing problem can then be converted into the problem: Construct a matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ so that the unknown d -sparse vector $\mathbf{x} \in D^n$ can be determined exactly and efficiently through the outcome vector $\mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{x}$.

Motivation and related work

This generalization naturally arises in several situations. Clearly, the conventional quantitative group testing in [10] is a special case of the multi-group testing with $D = \{0, 1\}$ and $q = 2$.

In some applications, such as blood testing, what patients or doctors want to know might be not only a yes-or-no answer but also a more precise index, a standard by which the level of some illness can be judged. The major purpose of relaxing $\mathbf{x}$ from the usual set $\{0, 1\}$ to a prescribed set D (can be very large) is that we aim to learn more information that each item carries rather than just determine which items are positive. Of particular note is that D is allowed to contain not only positive

elements but also negative elements, whose presence is in a sense to cancel the effect of positive elements; such a phenomenon was noticed in real applications: molecular biology [14], blood testing [17] and drug discovery [18], and discussed in the literature of group testing as the inhibitor model [3].

The multi-group testing problem is also closely related to the compressed sensing theory, a popular and intensively studied field in the past decade (see [2, 9] and references therein). Compressed sensing is a signal processing technique for recovering a signal by finding solutions to underdetermined linear systems (more unknown variables than equations). Typically, the signal to be recovered is assumed to be sparse, which coincides with the multi-group testing problem. However, in multi-group testing the measurements are restricted to vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ while in compressed sensing they are usually vectors in the real space $\mathbb{R}^n$. A consequence of the fundamental difference is that the developed theory in compressed sensing cannot be applied immediately to the multi-group testing problem because methods work for $\mathbb{R}$ need not work for $\mathbb{Z}_q$.

The “multiset” relaxation has an advantage of performance. Using less measurements in the multiset model than in the set model is to be expected as set is a special case of multiset. To the best of our knowledge, there are a few studies about non-binary structures relevant to group testing. However, nonbinary structures are used only to construct binary designs for group testing purpose in almost all the studies except Emad and Milenkovic [13], where a semi-quantitative model is considered.

Our contribution

We give nonadaptive strategies for the multi-group testing problem with general D . We note that the one-sided case, i.e., D is nonnegative or nonpositive, is a relatively simple case to handle. The reason is that in this case a zero outcome simply implies that all the items appearing in the measurement are zero, in contrast to the general case, a zero outcome can be produced by a combination of some positive elements

and negative elements.

Our decoding algorithms are quite efficient. For the one-sided case our strategy has a decoding algorithm in $O(|D|tn)$ time and for the general case it is $O(|D|tn^{d+1})$. By contrast, the brute-force method needs $\binom{n}{d}|D|^dtn = O(|D|^dtn^{d+1})$ time in the worst case.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces our major tools and studies the one-sided case. Section 3 deals with the general case. Finally, Section 4 provides some explicit solutions to the multi-group testing problem.

2 The one-sided case

This section starts with our major tools.

Definition 1 *A q -ary matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ of size $t \times n$ is called additive (w, d) -disjunct if for any $\mathbf{s} \in \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \leq d\}$ and for each $k \in [n]$ such that $s_k = 0$, there exists an $i \in [t]$ such that*

$$m_{ik} > w \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij}s_j.$$

Remark: By definition, the well-studied d -disjunct matrix [12, 15] (also known as cover-free families or superimposed codes) is merely a binary ($q = 2$) additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix. In particular, when $w \geq q$, any q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix can be converted simply to a binary d -disjunct matrix by replacing every non-zero entry with 1.

Theorem 2.1 *Let A be a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{t \times n}$. Given a fixed (unknown) vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{x}$. Let $\mathbf{x}' = a\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (known), and let $\mathbf{v}' = A\mathbf{x}'$. Then the problem of learning $\mathbf{x}$ from $\mathbf{v}$ is equivalent to the problem of learning $\mathbf{x}'$ from $\mathbf{v}'$.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the linear mapping from $\mathbf{v}'$ to $\mathbf{v}$, that is, $\mathbf{v}' = A\mathbf{x}' = A(a\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) = aA\mathbf{x} + A\mathbf{b} = a\mathbf{v} + A\mathbf{b}$. $\blacksquare$

To present our algorithms, we first introduce some notations. Throughout this paper, let $\mathbf{x} \in D^n$ be an unknown d -sparse vector, $A = [a_{ij}]$ be the matrix corresponding to the measurements and $\mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{x}$ be the outcome vector. For any vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, define

$$\phi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv |\{y_j : y_j \neq 0\}|.$$

Given any vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$, for each $j \in [n]$ define

$$t_j(\mathbf{y}) = |\{i : a_{ij} > y_i\}|.$$

For any vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{y},=\delta} = (s_1^{\mathbf{y},=\delta}, s_2^{\mathbf{y},=\delta}, \dots, s_n^{\mathbf{y},=\delta})$ where

$$s_j^{\mathbf{y},=\delta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_j = \delta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For convenience, we shall use s_j to denote $s_j^{\mathbf{y},=\delta}$ if no confusion occurs without specified superscripts. Likewise, we define $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{y},\geq\delta}$ and $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{y},\leq\delta}$ by replacing $y_j = \delta$ with $y_j \geq \delta$ and $y_j \leq \delta$, respectively. For any two vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ of the same dimension, denote by $\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{y}$ if $x_i \geq y_i$ for all i and by $\mathbf{x} \not\succeq \mathbf{y}$ otherwise.

The rest of this section focuses on the one-sided case. By symmetry, we may and shall assume that $D = \{0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ where $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}^n$ be an unknown sparse vector with $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, where $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m$. Let A be a q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$ with $w \geq \frac{cm}{c_1}$ and $\mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{x}$ be the outcome vector. Then $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x},\geq c_1}$ can be identified from $\mathbf{v}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, this problem can be reduced to the problem of learning the unknown sparse vector $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n) \in \{0, 1, \frac{c_2}{c_1}, \dots, \frac{c_m}{c_1}\}^n$ from the outcome vector $\mathbf{v}' = \frac{1}{c_1}\mathbf{v}$.

For each k such that $x'_k \neq 0$, for all $i \in [t]$ we have $a_{ik} \leq \sum_{c=1}^{c_m/c_1} \binom{c}{\{j:x'_j=c\}} a_{ij} = v'_i$.

Accordingly, $t_k(\mathbf{v}') = 0$ whenever $x'_k \neq 0$.

Since $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, $\sum_{j=1}^n s_j^{\mathbf{x}', \geq 1} \leq d$. For each k such that $x'_k = 0$, by definition of the q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix, there exists $i \in [t]$ such that

$$a_{ik} > w \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j^{\mathbf{x}', \geq 1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x'_j = v'_i.$$

This implies that $t_k(\mathbf{v}') > 0$ if $x'_k = 0$. By the above discussion, we can identify the vector $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}', \geq 1}$ through the counting function $t_k(\mathbf{v}')$. As a consequence, $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_1}$ can be identified too. $\blacksquare$

We now analyze the time complexity for the decoding algorithm corresponding to Lemma 2.2. For each $j \in [n]$, it takes t operations for computing the value $t_j(\cdot)$. Therefore, the decoding complexity is $O(tn)$.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{c_0 = 0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}^n$ be an unknown sparse vector with $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, where $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m$. Let $w = \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{\frac{c_m - c_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}\}$. Then any q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$ can be used to identify $\mathbf{x}$ with $O(|D|tn)$ decoding complexity.*

Proof. The corollary follows by applying Lemma 2.2 repeatedly (m times). The precise process is as follows. Let $\mathbf{x}^1 = \frac{1}{c_1} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{v}^1 = \frac{1}{c_1} \mathbf{v}$ (here we shall use $\mathbf{x}^i$ and $\mathbf{v}^i$ to denote the updated vectors in the i th round). Since $w \geq \frac{c_m}{c_1}$, by Lemma 2.2 we know that $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^1, \geq 1}$ can be identified from $\mathbf{v}^1$ successfully.

For $k = 2, \dots, m-1$, define recursively that

$$\mathbf{v}^k = \frac{1}{\frac{c_k - c_{k-2}}{c_{k-1} - c_{k-2}} - 1} \left(\mathbf{v}^{k-1} - A \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^{k-1}, \geq 1} \right) \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^k = \frac{1}{\frac{c_k - c_{k-2}}{c_{k-1} - c_{k-2}} - 1} \left(\mathbf{x}^{k-1} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^{k-1}, \geq 1} \right).$$

It is easily verified that $\mathbf{v}^k = A \mathbf{x}^k$ for all k . Note that $\mathbf{x}^k \in \{0, 1, \frac{c_{k+1} - c_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}, \dots, \frac{c_m - c_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}\}^n$ is a d -sparse vector and $w \geq \frac{c_m - c_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}$ for each $k = 1, \dots, m$. Applying Lemma 2.2

repeatedly, $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^k, \geq 1}$ can be identified for all $k = 1, \dots, m$. Consequently, the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$ can be identified as $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i - c_{i-1}) \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^i, \geq 1} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, = c_i}$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

3 The general case

In this section, we turn our attention to the general case that elements in D are neither all nonnegative nor all nonpositive. Let $D = \{z_{m_2}, \dots, z_1, 0, c_1, \dots, c_{m_1}\}$, where $z_{m_2} < \dots < z_1 < 0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{m_1}$. For any vector $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathbf{v}^{h\mathbf{r}} \equiv \mathbf{v} + hA\mathbf{r}.$$

For any vector $\mathbf{v}, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$t_j^*(\mathbf{v}, h, d) \equiv \min_{\mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n, \phi(\mathbf{r})=d} t_j(\mathbf{v}^{h\mathbf{r}}) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{z_{m_2}, \dots, z_1, 0, c_1, \dots, c_{m_1}\}^n$, $z_{m_2} < \dots < z_1 < 0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{m_1}$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, be an unknown sparse vector. Let $w \geq \frac{c_{m_1} - z_{m_2}}{c_1}$. Then any q -ary additive $(w, 2d)$ -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$ can be used to identify $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_1}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, this problem is equivalent to the problem of learning $\mathbf{x} \in \{\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}, \dots, \frac{z_1}{c_1}, 0, 1, \frac{c_2}{c_1}, \dots, \frac{c_{m_1}}{c_1}\}^n$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, A be a q -ary additive $(w, 2d)$ -disjunct matrix, $w \geq \frac{c_{m_1} - z_{m_2}}{c_1}$, of size $t \times n$ and $\mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{x}$ be the outcome vector corresponding to $\mathbf{x}$. It suffices to identify $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq 1}$.

Consider any vector $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})) = d$ such that $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, < 0}$. For each k with $x_k \geq 1$, for all $i \in [t]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^{\frac{-z_{m_2}}{c_1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})} &= v_i - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j && (\text{since } \mathbf{v}^{\frac{-z_{m_2}}{c_1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbf{v} - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} A\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})) \\ &\geq \sum_{\{j: x_j \geq 1\}} a_{ij} + \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{\{j: x_j < 0\}} a_{ij} - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j && (\text{since } \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, < 0}) \\ &\geq \sum_{\{j: x_j \geq 1\}} a_{ij} \geq a_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $t_k(\mathbf{v}^{-\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})}) = 0$ and therefore $t_k^*(\mathbf{v}, -\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}, d) = 0$ if $x_k \geq 1$.

Consider the case $x_k < 1$. For any arbitrary vector $\mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{r}) \leq d$, consider the unknown vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ where

$$s_\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_\ell \geq 1 \text{ or } r_\ell = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $\phi(\mathbf{r}) \leq d$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, we have $\phi(\mathbf{s}) \leq 2d$. Since A is a q -ary additive $(w, 2d)$ -disjunct matrix where $w \geq \frac{c_{m_1} - z_{m_2}}{c_1}$, there exists $i \in [t]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ik} &> \frac{c_{m_1} - z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j && \text{(by definition of disjunctness)} \\ &= \frac{c_{m_1}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j && \text{(since } \mathbf{s} \succeq \mathbf{x} \text{)} \\ &\geq v_i - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j \\ &\geq v_i - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j && \text{(since } \mathbf{s} \succeq \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ and } \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} < 0 \text{)} \\ &= v_i - \frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1} \mathbf{r}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $t_k(\mathbf{v}^{-\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}\mathbf{r}}) > 0$ for any $\mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{r}) \leq d$. Hence, $t_k^*(\mathbf{v}, -\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}, d) > 0$ if $x_k < 1$.

Therefore, by the above discussion, one can determine whether $x_k \geq 1$ through the counting function $t_k^*(\mathbf{v}, -\frac{z_{m_2}}{c_1}, d)$. ■

We now analyze the time complexity for the decoding algorithm corresponding to Lemma 3.1. For each $j \in [n]$, it takes $t_d^{(n)}$ operations for computing the value $t_j^*(\cdot)$. Therefore, the decoding complexity is $O(tn^{d+1})$.

Combining Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{z_{m_2}, \dots, z_1, 0, c_1, \dots, c_{m_1}\}^n$, $z_{m_2} < \dots < z_1 < z_0 = 0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{m_1}$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$, be an unknown sparse vector. Let $w \geq$*

$\max \left\{ \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{c_{m_1} - c_i - z_{m_2}}{c_{i+1} - c_i} \right\rfloor \mid 0 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{-z_{m_2} + z_{i-1}}{-z_i + z_{i-1}} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq i \leq m_2 \right\} \right\}$. Then any q -ary additive $(w, 2d)$ -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$ can be used to identify $\mathbf{x}$ with $O(|D|tn^{d+1})$ decoding complexity.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_1}$ can be identified since $w \geq \frac{c_{m_1} - z_{m_2}}{c_1}$. Let $\mathbf{x}^1 = \mathbf{x} - c_1 \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_1}$ and for $i = 2, \dots, m_1 - 1$ define recursively $\mathbf{x}^i = \mathbf{x}^{i-1} - (c_i - c_{i-1}) \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_i}$. Note that $\mathbf{x}^i \in \{z_{m_2}, \dots, z_1, 0, c_{i+1} - c_i, \dots, c_{m_1} - c_i\}^n$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}^i) \leq d$ for $i = 1, \dots, m_1 - 1$. With $w \geq \frac{c_{m_1} - c_i - z_{m_2}}{c_{i+1} - c_i}$, applying Theorem 3.1 repeatedly, one can successfully identify $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}^i, \geq c_{i+1} - c_i}$ (or equivalently $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_{i+1}}$) for $i = 1, \dots, m_1 - 1$. As a result, one can identify $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, = c_i} = \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_i} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, \geq c_{i+1}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ (for well-defineness let $c_{m_1+1} = \infty$).

Next, let $\mathbf{x}' = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} c_i \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}, = c_i} - \mathbf{x} \right) \in \{0, -z_1, \dots, -z_{m_2}\}^n$; hence $\phi(\mathbf{x}') \leq d$. As $w \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m_2} \left\{ \frac{-z_{m_2} + z_{i-1}}{-z_i + z_{i-1}} \right\}$, by Corollary 2.3, $\mathbf{x}'$ can be identified. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Note that the bound on w in Theorem 3.2 is not necessary the best choice. One might obtain a better bound by first applying the transformation method introduced in Section 2. The following demonstrates such an example.

Example 1 Let $\mathbf{x}^1 \in \{-2, 0, 1, 4\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{x}^1) \leq d$ and let $\mathbf{x}^2 = -\mathbf{x}^1 \in \{-4, -1, 0, 2\}^n$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that the problem of learning $\mathbf{x}^1$ is equivalent to the problem of learning $\mathbf{x}^2$. However, to apply Theorem 3.2 to $\mathbf{x}^2$, we have to require $w^2 \geq \max\left\{\frac{2-(-4)}{2-0}, \frac{4-0}{1-0}, \frac{4-1}{4-1}\right\} = 4$ which is smaller than $6 = \max\left\{\frac{4-(-2)}{1-0}, \frac{4-1-(-2)}{4-1}, \frac{2-0}{2-0}\right\} \leq w^1$, required by simply applying Theorem 3.2 to $\mathbf{x}^1$. $\blacksquare$

4 Constructions for multi-group testing

This section proposes three constructions for the multi-group testing problem. The first one is to construct a q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix from a conventional binary disjunct matrix by deleting some rows.

Theorem 4.1 *Let A be a q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$ and $w \leq \frac{q-1}{d}$. If A has $d+2$ rows $R_1, \dots, R_{d+2}$ pairwise disjoint with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, then A' obtained from A by deleting R_{d+2} and replacing R_k with $R'_k = (wd + 1)R_k + R_{d+2}$ for $k = 1, \dots, d+1$ is a q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix of size $(t - 1) \times n$.*

Proof. For convenience, represent A using row indices $[t]$ and column indices $[n]$ and without loss of generality assume $R_1, \dots, R_{d+2}$ be the first $d+2$ rows, i.e., indexed from 1 to $d+2$. Let A' be the obtained matrix (using the same indices with A). Obviously, every entry in A' is at most q . Consider fixed $j \in [n]$ and $j_1, \dots, j_d \in [n] \setminus \{j\}$. Since A is q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct, there exists an $i \in [t]$ such that $a_{ij} \geq w \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ij_k}$. There are only three cases as follows.

If $i \notin \{1, \dots, d+2\}$, then row i is in A' and $a'_{ij} = a_{ij} \geq w \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ij_k} = w \sum_{k=1}^d a'_{ij_k}$, as desired.

If $i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, then row i is in A' , $a_{ij} = 1$ and $a_{ij_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore, $a'_{ij} = (wd + 1) > w \sum_{k=1}^d 1 \geq w \sum_{k=1}^d a'_{ij_k}$ where the last inequality holds for $a'_{ij_k} \leq 1$.

If $i = d+2$, then row i is not in A' . We need to find another row i' in A' with the desired property. In this case, $a_{d+2,j} = 1$ and $a_{d+2,j_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Since $R_1, \dots, R_{d+1}$ are pairwise disjoint, at least one of them, say i' , has all 0 entries at the columns j_k 's for $k = 1, \dots, d$, i.e., $a_{i'j_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Since $a_{d+2,j} = 1$ and therefore $a_{i'j} = 0$, we have $a'_{i'j} = 1$. Thus, $a'_{i'j} > w \sum_{k=1}^d a'_{i'j_k} = 0$.

Since $j, j_1, \dots, j_d$ are chosen arbitrarily, the proof is complete. ■

A binary matrix A is called *transversal* if its rows can be divided into disjoint families such that rows in each family are disjoint. We say a family of size b if it has b rows. Denote $f_b(A)$ as the number of families of size at least b in the matrix A .

Example 2 Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{12 \times 16}.$$

It is easily verified that A is 2-disjunct and transversal as it can be divided into 3 disjoint families. Further, $f_4(A) = 3$. ■

Corollary 4.2 Let A be a transversal d -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$. There exists a q -ary additive (w, d) -disjunct matrix of size $t' \times n$ with $q \geq wd+2$ and $t' = t - f_{d+2}(A)$.

Proof. The Corollary follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. ■

Example 3 Let

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{9 \times 16}$$

obtained from A by using operations as in Theorem 4.1. Then A' is a 6-ary $(2, 2)$ -disjunct matrix of size 9×16 . ■

Next, we focus on the particular case that D is one-sided. The following two constructions are based on a special operation of matrices, referred to *Kronecker Product*.

Of particular note is that the obtained structures also admit efficient decoding algorithms.

Definition 2 *If A and B are matrices of size $n \times m$ and $s \times t$ respectively, then the Kronecker Product $A \otimes B$ of the two matrices A and B is the $ns \times mt$ matrix whose entries $(A \otimes B)_{ij,kl} = A_{ik}B_{jl}$ with row indices listed as $11, \dots, 1s, 21, \dots, n1, \dots, ns$ and column indices as $11, \dots, 1t, 21, \dots, m1, \dots, mt$.*

The Kronecker Product of two matrices A and B can also be viewed as

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1m}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2m}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}B & a_{n2}B & \cdots & a_{nm}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.3 *Let A be a matrix of size $t \times n$ that can successfully identify any unknown vector $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{y}) \leq d$, where $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m$. Let B be a binary d -disjunct matrix of size $t' \times n'$. Then $B \otimes A$ can successfully identify any unknown vector $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}^{nn'}$ with $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$.*

Proof. Partition the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$ equally into n' pieces $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n'}$ where $\mathbf{x}_j \in \{0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}^n$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n'$. Then

$$(B \otimes A)\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}A & b_{12}A & \cdots & b_{1n'}A \\ b_{21}A & b_{22}A & \cdots & b_{2n'}A \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{t'1}A & b_{t'2}A & \cdots & b_{t'n'}A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{t'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the outcome vector $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{t'} \end{pmatrix}$ with each $\mathbf{v}_i$ a vector of length t . We now want

to show that the unknown vector $\mathbf{x}$ can be identified from the outcome vector $\mathbf{v}$.

We first show that one can determine whether $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes a vector whose entries are all zero, for all j through the d -disjunctness property. Obviously, there are at most d $\mathbf{x}_j$'s such that $\mathbf{x}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ as $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$. Let J be such a set consisting

of indices of these $\mathbf{x}_j$'s with $\mathbf{x}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$. For a fixed k with $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{0}$, by the definition of d -disjunctness, there exists an ℓ such that $b_{\ell k} = 1$ and $b_{\ell j} = 0$ for all $j \in J$. It follows

that $\mathbf{v}_\ell = (b_{\ell 1}A \ b_{\ell 2}A \ \cdots \ b_{\ell n'}A) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In contrast, for each $j \in J$,

for each i with $b_{ij} = 1$ we have $\mathbf{v}_i = (b_{i1}A \ b_{i2}A \ \cdots \ b_{in'}A) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n'} \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ since $b_{ij}A\mathbf{x}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ and entries in $b_{ij'}A\mathbf{x}_{j'}$ are all nonnegative. As a result, one can determine whether $\mathbf{x}_j$ is $\mathbf{0}$ or not by checking $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for some i with $b_{ij} = 1$.

For each j with $\mathbf{x}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$, by the definition of d -disjunctness, there exists an i such that $b_{ij} = 1$ and $b_{ij'} = 0$ for all $j' \in J \setminus \{j\}$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{v}_i = (b_{i1}A \ b_{i2}A \ \cdots \ b_{in'}A) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n'} \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{x}_j.$$

Consequently, $\mathbf{x}_j$ can be identified from the outcome $\mathbf{v}_i$ since A can successfully identify any unknown vector $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}^n$ with $\phi(\mathbf{y}) \leq d$, where $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m$. By the above discussion, one can successfully identify $\mathbf{x}$ by using the matrix $B \otimes A$. ■

Following the idea in [13] of concatenating several matrices, we obtain the following result: Let A be a binary d -disjunct matrix of size $t \times n$. Let $u = c_m d$ and $n' = \lfloor \log_u(1 + (u-1)(q-1)) \rfloor$. Construct a q -ary matrix C of size $t \times nn'$ by concatenating n' matrices: $C = (A_1 \ A_2 \ \cdots \ A_{n'})$, where $A_j = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} u^i \right) A$ for $1 \leq j \leq n'$; equivalently $C = B \otimes A$ where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+u & 1+u+u^2 & \cdots & \frac{u^{n'}-1}{u-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.4 *Let C be the q -ary matrix as defined above. Then C can successfully identify any unknown vector $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}^{nn'}$ with $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$ and $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m$ where all c_i 's are positive integers.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} = C\mathbf{x}$ be the outcome vector. Consider $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_{n'})$ where $\mathbf{x}_j$'s are vectors of length n . We prove this theorem by showing $\mathbf{x}_{n'}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_1$ can be identified successfully one by one.

Observe that

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^{n'} A_j \mathbf{x}_j = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n'-1} \frac{u^j - 1}{u - 1} A \mathbf{x}_j \right) + \frac{u^{n'} - 1}{u - 1} A \mathbf{x}_{n'}. \quad (1)$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n'-1} \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \leq d$ and c_m is the largest value, each entry of the term $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n'-1} \frac{u^j - 1}{u - 1} A \mathbf{x}_j \right)$ in (1) is at most $\left(\frac{u^{n'-1} - 1}{u - 1} \right) c_m d < \frac{u^{n'} - 1}{u - 1}$. It follows that $\left\lfloor \frac{u - 1}{u^{n'} - 1} \mathbf{v} \right\rfloor = A \mathbf{x}_{n'}$. This implies $\mathbf{x}_{n'}$ can be identified from the outcome $\left\lfloor \frac{u - 1}{u^{n'} - 1} \mathbf{v} \right\rfloor$ as A is a d -disjunct matrix, which guarantees the identification of any d -sparse vector with entries all nonnegative.

Assume now that $\mathbf{x}_{n'}, \mathbf{x}_{n'-1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k+1}$ have been identified. Define $\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{v} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n'} A_j \mathbf{x}_j$. An analogous argument shows that $\left\lfloor \frac{u - 1}{u^k - 1} \mathbf{v}_k \right\rfloor = A \mathbf{x}_k$. By the d -disjunctness property again, one can identify $\mathbf{x}_k$ from the outcome $\left\lfloor \frac{u - 1}{u^k - 1} \mathbf{v}_k \right\rfloor$. Repeating this process, $\mathbf{x}_{n'}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_1$ can be identified successfully one by one. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

References

- [1] M. J. Atallah, K. B. Frikken, M. Blanton, and Y. Cho. Private combinatorial group testing. In *2008 ACM symposium on Information, computer and communications security*, pages 312–320, 2008.
- [2] E. Candés, J. Romberg, and T. Tao. Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(2):489–509, 2006.

- [3] H. L. Chang, H. B. Chen, and H. L. Fu. Identification and classification problems on pooling designs for inhibitor models. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 17(7):927–941, 2010.
- [4] H.B. Chen and A. De Bonis. An almost optimal algorithm for generalized threshold group testing with inhibitors. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 18:851–864, 2011.
- [5] H.B. Chen and H. L. Fu. Group testing with multiple mutually-obscuring positives. *LNCS: Information Theory, Combinatorics, and Search Theory*, 7777:557–568, 2013.
- [6] M. Cheng and Y. Miao. On anti-collusion codes and detection algorithms for multimedia fingerprinting. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 57(7):4843–4851, 2011.
- [7] P. Damaschke. Threshold group testing. In *General Theory of Information Transfer and Combinatorics: LNCS*, volume 4123, pages 707–718, 2005.
- [8] A. De Bonis and G Di Crescenzo. Combinatorial group testing for corruption localizing hashing. In B. Fu and D.Z. Du, editors, *LNCS*, volume 6842, pages 579–591. Springer, 2011.
- [9] D. Donoho. Compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(4):1289–1306, 2006.
- [10] D. Z. Du and F. K. Hwang. *Combinatorial Group Testing and Its Applications*. World Scientific, 2nd ed. edition, 2000.
- [11] D. Z. Du and F. K. Hwang. *Pooling Designs and Nonadaptive Group Testing - Important Tools for DNA Sequencing*. World Scientific, 2006.
- [12] A. G. D’yachkov and V. V. Rykov. Bounds on the length of disjunct codes. *Problemy Peredachi Inform.*, 18(3):7–13, 1982.
- [13] A. Emad and O. Milenkovic. Semi-quantitative group testing. arXiv 2012.05.20.

- [14] M. Farach, S. Kannan, E. Knill, and S. Muthukrishnan. Group testing problem with sequences in experimental molecular biology. In *Proc. Compression and Complexity of Sequences*, pages 357–367, 1997.
- [15] W. H. Kautz and R. R. Singleton. Nonrandom binary superimposed codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 10:363–377, 1964.
- [16] M. Mézard and C. Toninelli. Group testing with random pools: optimal two-stage algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 57:1736–1745, 2011.
- [17] R. M. Phatarfod and A. Sudbury. The use of a square array scheme in blood testing. *Stat. Med.*, pages 2337–2343, 1994.
- [18] M. Xie, K. Tatsuoka, J. Sacks, and S. Young. Group testing with blockers and synergism. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.*, pages 92–102, 2001.
- [19] Y. Xuan, I. Shin, M.T. Thai, and T. Znati. Detecting application denial-of-service attacks: a group-testing-based approach. *IEEE Trans. Par. Distr. Syst.*, 21:1203–1216, 2010.